

*Short Communication*

## Screening of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> and mycobiota related to raw materials and finished feed destined for fish

Etelvina María Carvalho Gonçalves-Nunes<sup>1</sup>, Maria M. Gomes-Pereira<sup>1</sup>, Amilton P. Raposo-Costa<sup>1</sup>  
Carlos A. da Rocha-Rosa<sup>2</sup>, Carina M. Pereyra<sup>3</sup>, Rodrigo M. Calvet<sup>1</sup>, Ana L. Alves-Marques<sup>1</sup>  
Francisco Cardoso-Filho<sup>1</sup> & Maria C. Sanches-Muratori<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Universidade Federal do Piauí, Centro de Ciências Agrárias, Teresina, Piauí 64049-550, Brazil

<sup>2</sup>Departamento de Imunología e Microbiología Veterinária, Instituto de Veterinária  
Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro 23890-000, Brazil

<sup>3</sup>Departamento de Microbiología e Inmunología, Universidad Nacional de Río Cuarto  
Ruta 36 km 601, 5800 Río Cuarto. Córdoba, Argentina  
Corresponding author: Etelvina Nunes (etelnunes@yahoo.com.br)

**ABSTRACT.** The aim of the present study was to determine fungal genera, *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium* and *Fusarium* species and aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> contamination from raw materials and finished feed intended for fish farm localized in Piauí, Brazil. *Aspergillus flavus* and *P. citrinum* were isolated with a high relative density from all samples. In general, a high percent of samples exceeded the levels proposed as feed hygienic quality limits (CFU g<sup>-1</sup>) according to Good Manufacture Practice. Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> was analyzed by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. All raw materials and finished feed showed aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> levels. Although in this study AFB<sub>1</sub> levels below recommended limits (20 µg kg<sup>-1</sup>) were found, it is important to emphasize the feed intake with toxin in low concentrations along time, since it produce chronic deleterious effects in animal production. This fact requires periodic monitoring to prevent the occurrence of chronic aflatoxicosis in aquaculture, to reduce the economic losses and to minimize hazards to animal health.

**Keywords:** aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>, mycobiota, finished feed fish, raw materials, aquaculture.

## Monitoreo de aflatoxina B<sub>1</sub> y micobiota relacionada a materias primas y alimentos terminados destinados a peces

**RESUMEN.** El objetivo de este estudio fue determinar géneros fúngicos, especies de *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium* y *Fusarium* y la contaminación de aflatoxina B<sub>1</sub> (AFB<sub>1</sub>) en materias primas y alimento terminado destinado a la cría de peces en el estado de Piauí, Brasil. *Aspergillus flavus* y *P. citrinum* fueron aisladas con alta densidad relativa. En general, un alto porcentaje de muestras excedieron los niveles fúngicos propuestos como límite de calidad higiénica (CFU g<sup>-1</sup>) según las buenas prácticas de manufactura. Aflatoxina B<sub>1</sub> fue analizada por ensayos inmuno-enzimáticos. Todas las materias primas y el alimento terminado mostraron niveles de contaminación con AFB<sub>1</sub>. Aunque en este estudio los niveles de AFB<sub>1</sub> estuvieron por debajo del límite recomendado (20 µg de toxina kg<sup>-1</sup> de alimento), es importante enfatizar la ingesta de alimento con bajas concentraciones de toxina a lo largo del tiempo ya que produce efectos crónicos en animales de producción. Este factor requiere monitoreo periódico para prevenir la incidencia de aflatoxicosis crónica en acuicultura, reducir las pérdidas económicas y minimizar los riesgos de la salud animal.

**Palabras clave:** Aflatoxina B<sub>1</sub>, micobiota, alimento terminado, materia prima, acuicultura.

Aquaculture is currently the fastest growing animal production sector in the world. Brazil obtained the third position in production of aquaculture in America (FAO, 2011; MPA, 2011). This increase in aquaculture production must be supported by a corresponding increa-

se in the production of formulated diets for the cultured aquatic animals. For most aquaculture systems, the cost of feed constitutes 30 to 60% of the farm operational costs (Wing-Keong, 2003). The improvement in the formulation and preparation of diets for fish requires the

detection of factors that negatively affect the quality of feed, to avoid production losses (Conroy, 2000). Mycotoxins are fungal secondary metabolites associated with severe toxic effects to vertebrates and produced by important fungi including *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium*, *Fusarium*, and *Alternaria* species (Kabak *et al.*, 2006). Aflatoxins (AFs) are a group of naturally occurring mycotoxins produced by *Aspergillus* fungi, especially *A. flavus* and *A. parasiticus*. Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> (AFB<sub>1</sub>), in particular, is toxic to many species of animals, as well as humans, and presents carcinogenic, teratogenic and mutagenic potential (IARC 2002, Sassahara *et al.*, 2005). There are many reports on the contamination of mycotoxins in various raw materials and feeds for animals (Akande *et al.*, 2006; Glenn, 2007; Keller *et al.*, 2007; Martin *et al.*, 2008; Cavaglieri *et al.*, 2009; Pereyra *et al.*, 2011). Several reports on evidence of the negative impact of mycotoxins in fish species were informed in the south of Brazil (Lopes *et al.*, 2005, 2010; Vieira *et al.*, 2006). However, there is no published information on feed contaminated with fungal genera and AFB<sub>1</sub> intended for fish feed in northeast of Brazil. The aim of the present study was to determine fungal genera, *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium* and *Fusarium* species and AFB<sub>1</sub> contamination from raw materials and finished feed intended for fish.

Raw materials and finished fish feed samples were collected from one industry in Piauí State, Brazil (5°5'20"S, 42°48'7"W). This industry was selected because it is the major provider of feed intended for fish farm in the northeast of Brazil. A total of 18 raw materials and 36 finished fish feed samples (pelleted) were sampled from January to March 2009. To ensure a correct sampling, each bag of 25 kg had a linear imaginary division in its length into three equal parts from which primary samples (1 k) from the upper layer, central layer and lower layer were collected. Three kinds of raw materials: soybean bran, corn bran, and other cereals (wheat meal, fishmeal and meat meal) in small amount intended for feed manufacturing were collected. The composition of the finished feed samples was composed of soybean bran (15%), corn bran (27%), other cereals (57.5%), and vitamin-mineral mix (0.5%). Samples were properly packed in bags and immediately sent to the laboratory. Samples were immediately processed for mycological analyses and kept at -4°C until AFB<sub>1</sub> analyses.

Analysis of the mycobiota was made by the plate dilution spread method onto dichloran rose bengal chloranphenicol agar (DRBC), a general medium used for estimating total mycobiota (Abarca *et al.*, 1994). Quantitative enumeration was done using the surface-spread method. Twenty-five grams of each sample were homogenized in 225 mL 0.1% peptone water

solution for 30 min in an orbital shaker. Serial dilutions ( $10^{-2}$  to  $10^{-3}$ ) were made and 0.1 mL aliquots were inoculated in duplicates onto the culture media. Plates were incubated at 25°C for 7 days in darkness. Only plates containing 10-100 colony-forming units (CFU) were used for counting. The results were expressed as CFU g<sup>-1</sup> per sample. Representative colonies of *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium* were transferred for sub-culturing to tubes containing malt extract agar (MEA) whereas *Fusarium* spp. were transferred for sub-culturing to plates containing carnation leaf agar (CLA). Species of *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium* and *Fusarium* were identified according to Klich (2002); Samson *et al.* (2000); and Nelson *et al.* (1983), respectively. The results were expressed as isolation frequency (% of samples in which each genera was present) and relative density (% of isolation of each species among strains of the same genera).

A commercially available enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) plate Kit AgraQuant® Total Aflatoxin Assay (Romer Labs®) was applied for the extraction and quantification of AFB<sub>1</sub>. A 20 g portion of each sample was extracted with 100 mL methanol during 3 min into a blend jar. The mixture was diluted in water (1:20 v v<sup>-1</sup>) and an aliquot taken and placed into a culture plate. Detection limit of the technique was 1.0 µg kg<sup>-1</sup> (ppb) for AFB<sub>1</sub>.

Data analyses were performed by analysis of variance. Total fungal counts data were transformed using a logarithmical function log<sub>10</sub> (x+1) before applying the analysis of variance. The Student-Newman-Keuls (SNK) test was used to determine the significant differences between means. The analysis was conducted using SIGMA STAT program.

Table 1 show the fungal counts from raw materials and finished fish feed in DRBC culture media. In general, a high percent of raw materials and finished feed samples had counts higher than 1x10<sup>4</sup> CFU g<sup>-1</sup>.

A mycological survey of the samples indicated the presence of nine genera of filamentous fungi (Table 2). Table 3 shows the relative density of isolated of *Aspergillus* spp., *Penicillium* spp., and *Fusarium* spp. isolated from raw materials and finished fish feed. *Aspergillus flavus* and *P. citrinum* were isolated with a high relative density from all raw materials and finished fish feed. *Aspergillus parasiticus* was isolated from all raw materials but not of finished fish feed. *Aspergillus section nigri* (*A. carbonarius* and *A. niger* aggregate) strains were isolated of soybean bran and other cereals with a relative density from 23.1 and 16.7%, respectively. *Aspergillus versicolor*, *A. fumigatus* and *A. terreus* strains were isolated at lower relative densities. *Penicillium pinophyllum* was isolated only in corn bran with a relative density of 35% and *P. funicu-*

**Table 1.** Fungal counts (CFU g<sup>-1</sup>) from raw materials and finished fish feed in DRBC culture media. DRBC: dichloran rose bengal chloranphenicol, detection limit: 1x10<sup>2</sup> CFU g<sup>-1</sup>, maximum recommended level: 1x10<sup>4</sup> CFU g<sup>-1</sup> (GMP, 2008). (\*) % samples exceeded the maximum recommended level, a = the same letters represent similar results ( $P > 0.05\%$ ).

Samples	Fungal counts (CFU g <sup>-1</sup> )	Media-range	Samples over limits (%)*
Raw materials samples	Soybean bran	3.23x10 <sup>4</sup> <sup>a</sup> (9.33x10 <sup>3</sup> - 5.62x10 <sup>4</sup> )	83.3
	Corn bran	6.92 x 10 <sup>4</sup> <sup>a</sup> (1.55x10 <sup>4</sup> - 2.45x10 <sup>5</sup> )	100
	Other cereals	3.72 x 10 <sup>3</sup> <sup>b</sup> (<1.0x10 <sup>2</sup> - 3.47x10 <sup>4</sup> )	66.7
Finished feed samples	2.40 x 10 <sup>4</sup> <sup>a</sup> (4.47x10 <sup>3</sup> - 1,02x10 <sup>5</sup> )		66.7

**Table 2.** Isolation frequency of fungal genera (%) from raw materials and finished fish feed.

Fungal genera	Isolation frequency (%)			
	Raw materials samples			Finished feed samples
	Soybean bran	Corn bran	Other cereals	
<i>Penicillium</i> sp.	100	100	66.7	83.3
<i>Aspergillus</i> sp.	83.3	66.7	66.7	66.7
<i>Fusarium</i> sp.	0	16.7	0	0
<i>Cladosporium</i> sp.	0	0	0	20
<i>Paecilomyces</i> sp.	16.7	0	0	0
<i>Acremonium</i> sp.	0	16.7	0	0
<i>Byssochlamys</i> sp.	16.7	0	0	0
<i>Geotrichum</i> sp.	0	0	16.7	0
<i>Rizhopus</i> sp.	16.7	16.7	16.7	23.3

*losum* was present in the soybean bran and corn bran. *Penicillium purpurogenum*, *P. corylophilum*, *P. miczynskii*, *P. implicatum* and *P. variable* strains were isolated at lower relative densities. *Fusarium verticillioides* was only present in corn bran.

Table 4 show the AFB<sub>1</sub> levels in raw materials and finished fish feed. Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> was detected in mean levels of 5.8 µg kg<sup>-1</sup> (soybean bran), 1.1 µg kg<sup>-1</sup> (corn bran), 7.4 µg kg<sup>-1</sup> (other cereals) and 3.8 µg kg<sup>-1</sup> (finished fish feed) with a frequency from 40, 33.3, 60 and 16.7%, respectively.

Fungi and AFB<sub>1</sub> contamination from raw materials and finished feed intended for fish farm were studied.

The quality of food is an essential prerequisite for obtaining optimal production results in fish production (Jakic-Domic *et al.*, 2005). Fungal growth leads to reduction of the nutritional quality of the raw materials, and may contribute to the contamination of the finished fish feed by fungi (Cavaglieri *et al.*, 2009). In this study, a high mycological contamination in raw materials and finished feed was found. The collected soybean bran (83.3%), corn bran (100%), other cereals (66.7%) and

finished fish feed (66.7%) samples exceeded the limit 1x10<sup>4</sup> CFU g<sup>-1</sup> that determines feed hygienic quality, according to good manufacturing practices (GMP, 2008). These results suggest a high fungal activity that could affect the palatability of feed and reduce the animal nutrients absorption, determining a low quality substrate (Ogundero, 1987; Martins & Martins, 2001). The screening of samples for fungal propagules is a useful exercise in itself as an indicator of contamination but also complements the analysis of mycotoxins that could be present.

High fungal diversity was found in raw materials and finished fish feed. All samples showed that *Aspergillus* spp. and *Penicillium* spp., the main toxigenic fungus, were the prevalent genera. Many studies have shown that most feed have species of *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium* genera as predominant in pelleted or extruded feed (Keller *et al.*, 2007, 2008; Fernandez-Juri *et al.*, 2009; Pereyra *et al.*, 2009). Our results are similar to those obtained by Santos (2006) and Calvet (2008) in marine shrimp and Cardoso (2011) in finished fish feed samples. However, these authors did not analyze the raw materials.

**Table 3.** Relative density (%) of *Aspergillus* spp., *Penicillium* spp., and *Fusarium* spp. isolated from raw materials and finished fish feed.

Species	Relative density (%)			
	Raw materials samples			Finished feed samples
	Soybean bran	Corn bran	Other cereals	
<i>A. flavus</i>	61.5	50	66.6	100
<i>A. parasiticus</i>	7.7	25	16.7	0
<i>A. versicolor</i>	7.7	8.3	0	0
<i>A. fumigates</i>	0	8.3	0	0
<i>A. terreus</i>	0	8.3	0	0
<i>A. carbonarius</i>	7.7	0	16.7	0
<i>A. niger aggregate</i>	15.4	0	0	0
<i>P. citrinum</i>	81.8	35	90.9	94.1
<i>P. purpurogenum</i>	0	0	0	5.9
<i>P. pinophyllum</i>	0	35	0	0
<i>P. funiculosum</i>	9.1	20	0	0
<i>P. corylophilum</i>	0	0	9.1	0
<i>P. miczynskii</i>	0	5	0	0
<i>P. implicatum</i>	0	5	0	0
<i>P. variabile</i>	9.1	0	0	0
<i>F. verticillioides</i>	0	100	0	0

**Table 4.** Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> levels in raw materials and finished fish feed. †Contamination frequency (%): percentage of samples contaminated with AFB<sub>1</sub>.

Mycotoxins		Raw material samples			Finished feed samples
		Soybean bran	Corn bran	Other cereals	
AFB <sub>1</sub>	Media ± range (µg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	5.8 (1.3-10.3)	1.1 (1.0-1.7)	7.4 (1.5-19.1)	3.8 (1.6-9.8)
	Frequency <sup>†</sup> (%)	40	33.3	60	16.7

In this study, a high frequency of *Aspergillus* species was found. *Aspergillus flavus* and *A. parasiticus* were the predominant species isolated. This species are important aflatoxins producers, mainly AFB<sub>1</sub> (CAST, 2003). Santos (2006), Calvet (2008) and Cardoso (2011) reported high percentages of *A. flavus* in feed samples from aquaculture in northeast of Brazil. Other studies found *Aspergillus* section *Flavi* species from equine, poultry and pet-pelleted feed samples as prevalent (Keller *et al.*, 2007; Fernandez-Juri *et al.*, 2009; Pereyra *et al.*, 2009). Many of the *Penicillium* species found (*P. citrinum*, *P. purpurogenum*, *P. pinophyllum*, *P. funiculosum*, *P. corylophilum*, *P. miczynskii*, *P. implicatum* and *P. variabile*), can produce a very wide range of toxic compounds such as citrinin and cetroeviridin (Pitt, 2004). So far, there is no information about the toxicological effects of these mycotoxins in fish. In this study, *F. verticillioides* was found only in corn bran. Other authors reported *Fusarium* species in marine shrimp and fish feed

samples (Santos, 2006; Cardoso, 2011). *Fusarium* is a genus of field; these species do not have the ability to grow in dry feed such as pelleted and extruded feed.

All raw materials and finished feed showed aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> levels. This result agrees with those obtained by Hashimoto *et al.* (2003) in feed used for aquaculture in the region of Londrina, Paraná, which was 28.5% of total aflatoxins contaminated samples, being AFB<sub>1</sub> the principal. In other study of commercial feeds for fish in the north and west of the State of Parana, Buck (2005) detected total aflatoxins contamination in 17% of samples with levels of 7.84 to 26.49 µg kg<sup>-1</sup>.

The biological effects of mycotoxins depend on the ingested amounts, number of occurring mycotoxins, and time of exposure and animal sensitivity. Moreover, the mycotoxin effects are not only amplified by stress production but also high in intensively reared animals (Yiannikouris & Jouany, 2002; Binder, 2007). Although

in this study AFB<sub>1</sub> levels below recommended limits (20 µg kg<sup>-1</sup>, GMP, 2008) were found, it is important to emphasize the feed intake with toxin in low concentrations along the time since produce chronic deleterious effects in animal production. El-Sayed & Khalil (2009) described that a prolonged feeding of European seabass with low levels of AFB<sub>1</sub> (1.8 µg kg<sup>-1</sup> body weight) causes not only serious health problems in exposed-fish, but also represents a high risk to consumers through AFB<sub>1</sub> residues in fish musculature. This might also pose human health concerns as certain aflatoxin levels were recorded in the muscles of fish fed contaminated diets. Han *et al.* (2009) described that gibel carp, *Carassius auratus gibelio* (L.) fed with more than 10 µg AFB<sub>1</sub> kg<sup>-1</sup> diet showed accumulation of AFB<sub>1</sub> residues in muscles and ovaries above the safety limitation of European Union (2 ppb).

It is very difficult to guarantee the absence of mycotoxins in aquaculture feeds even when appropriate measures are taken, such as good screening programs, selection of high quality raw materials and feed ingredients, and good storage conditions. It is therefore imperative to find effective ways of managing the risks posed by mycotoxin contamination.

This is the first report that provides information on the fungal and AFB<sub>1</sub> contamination in raw materials and finished feed intended for fish farm localized in Piauí, Brazil. Future studies could be conducted to analyze other mycotoxins, as fumisinins and citrinin.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was carried out with grants from CAPES, Brazil. The authors thanks Alverne Barbosa for his assistance in getting the fish feed samples.

#### REFERENCES

- Abarca, M.L., M.R. Bragulat, G. Castellá & F.J. Cabañes. 1994. Ochratoxin a production by strains of *Aspergillus niger* var. *niger*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 60: 2650-2652.
- Akande, K.E., M.M. Abubakar, T.A. Adegbola & S.E. Bogoro. 2006. Nutritional and health implications of mycotoxins in animal feeds: a review. *Pakistan J. Nutr.*, 5: 398-403.
- Binder, E.M. 2007. Managing the risk of mycotoxins in modern feed production. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*, 133: 149-166.
- Buck, E.L. 2005. Micotoxinas em ração comercial para peixes. Dissertação (Mestrado em Ciência Animal)-Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciência Animal, Universidade Estadual de Londrina, Paraná, 44 pp.
- Calvet, R.M. 2008. Isolamento e identificação de fungos toxígenos em carcinicultura marinha. 83 pp. (Dissertação). Universidade Federal do Piauí. [[http://www.ufpi.br/subsiteFiles/ciencianimal/arquivos/files/DM\\_RMC.pdf](http://www.ufpi.br/subsiteFiles/ciencianimal/arquivos/files/DM_RMC.pdf)]. Reviewed: 10 January 2014.
- Cardoso, F.C. Monitoramento de fungos toxigênicos e aflatoxinas em rações utilizadas na piscicultura em Teresina, Piauí, Brasil. 2011. 43 pp. (Dissertação) Universidade Federal do Piauí. [<http://www.ufpi.br/subsiteFiles/ciencianimal/arquivos/files/FRANCISCO.pdf>]. Reviewed: 12 March 2014.
- Cavaglieri, L.R., K.M. Keller, C.M. Pereyra, M.L. González-Pereyra, V.A. Alonso, F.G. Rojo, A.M. Dalcerro & C.A.R. Rosa. 2009. Mycotoxicity of barley rootlets and malt used as pig feedstuff ingredients. *J. Stored Prod. Res.*, 4:147-150.
- Conroy, G. 2000. Alteraciones asociadas con dos alimentos comerciales en tetrahíbridos de tilapia roja cultivados en Venezuela. *Asociacion Americana de Soya, Boletín Informativo*, Caracas, 33 pp.
- Council for Agricultural Science and Technology (CAST). 2003. Mycotoxins: risks in plant, animal and human systems. Task Force Report N°139, Ames, Iowa, 199 pp.
- El-Sayed, Y.S. & R.H. Khalil. 2009. Toxicity, biochemical effects and residue of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> in marine water-reared sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax* L.). *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, 47: 1606-1609.
- Fernández-Júri, M.G., A.L. Astoreca, C.L. Barberis, L.R. Cavaglieri, A.M. Dalcerro & C.E. Magnoli. 2009. Aflatoxins, fumonisins and toxigenic fungi in raw materials and ready dry dog food in central Argentina. *Rev. Bras. Med. Vet.*, 31: 109-117.
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). 2011. World aquaculture production by culture environment. [<http://www.fao.org/fishery/statistics/es>]. Reviewed: 20 October 2013.
- Glenn, A.E. 2007. Mycotoxigenic *Fusarium* species in animal feed. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*, 137: 213-240.
- Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP). 2008. GMP Certification scheme animal feed. Sector 2006, Appendix 1: product standards; regulations on product standards in the animal feed Sector. GMP14: 1-39.
- Han, D., S. Xie, X. Zhu, Y. Yang & Z. Guo. 2009. Growth and hepatopancreas performances of gibel carp fed diets containing low levels of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>. *Aquacult. Nutr.*, 16: 335-342.
- Hashimoto, E.H., M.A. Santos, E.Y. Ono, C. Hayashi, A.P. Bracarense & E.Y. Hirooka. 2003. Bromatologia e contaminação com fumonisina e aflatoxina em rações utilizadas na piscicultura da região de Londrina, Paraná, Brasil. *Semina: Ciênc. Agr.*, 24: 123-132.
- International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). 2002. Some traditional herbal medicines, some

- mycotoxins, naphthalene and styrene. Monographs, Lyon, 82: 301-366.
- Jacic-Dimic, D., S. Jeremic, K. Nestic & V. Radosavljevic. 2005. The influence of mycotoxins in food on fish health status. The first scientific meeting mycology, mycotoxicology and mycoses held from April 2005. Novi Sad., (109): 73-79.
- Kabak, B., A.D. Dobson & I. Var. 2006. Strategies to prevent mycotoxin contamination of food and animal feed: a review. *Critical Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.*, 46: 593-619.
- Keller, K.M., L.A.M. Keller, A.A. Oliveira, T.X. Almeida, R.S. Garcia & C.A.R. Rosa. 2008. Mycotoxicological evaluation of feedstuffs intended for dairy goats in Teresópolis, RJ, Brazil. *Rev. Bras. Med. Vet.*, 30: 91-96.
- Keller, K.M., B.D. Queiroz, L.A.M. Keller, J.M.M. Ribeiro, L.R. Cavaglieri, M.L. González- Pereyra, A.M. Dalcerro & C.A.R. Rosa. 2007. The mycobiota and toxicity of equine feed. *Vet. Res. Comm.*, 31: 1037-1045.
- Klich, M.A. 2002. Identification of common *Aspergillus* species. CBS, Utrecht, 116 pp.
- Lopes, P., J. Neto, C. Mallmann, R. Lazzari, F. Pedron & C.A. Veiverberg. 2005. Crescimento e alterações no fígado e na carcaça de alevinos de jundiá alimentados com dietas com aflatoxinas. *Pesq. Agropec. Bras.*, 40: 1029-1034.
- Lopes, P., J.L. Pouey, D.B. Enke, S. Camargo, G. Correa, C.L. Ribeiro, C.A. Mallmann, M.K. Portleninha, M.F. Santiago & M. Soquetta. 2010. Aflatoxin effects on erythrocytic parameters of silver catfish (*Rhamdia quelen*). *Rev. FZVA, Uruguiana*, 17: 1-13.
- Martins, H.M., M. Marques, I. Almeida, M.M. Guerra & F. Bernardo. 2008. Mycotoxins in feedstuffs in Portugal: an overview. *Mycotoxin Res.*, 1: 19-23.
- Martins, H.M. & M.L. Martins. 2001. Mycological quality evaluation of bovine feedstuffs (Portugal: 1996-1999). *Rev. Port. Ciênc. Vet.*, 96: 85-88.
- Ministério da Pesca e Aquicultura (MPA). 2011. Boletim Estatístico da Pesca e Aquicultura. Brasília, DF, Brazil. [[http://www.mpa.gov.br/images/Docs/Informacoes\\_e\\_Estatisticas/Boletim%20MPA%202011FINA L.pdf](http://www.mpa.gov.br/images/Docs/Informacoes_e_Estatisticas/Boletim%20MPA%202011FINA L.pdf)]. Reviewed: 12 October 2013.
- Nelson, P.E., T.A. Toussoun & W.F.O. Marasas. 1983. *Fusarium* species: an illustrated manual for identification. The Pennsylvania State University Press, University Park and London, 193 pp.
- Ogundero, V.W. 1987. Toxicogenic fungi and the deterioration of Nigerian poultry feeds. *Mycopathologia*, 100: 75-83.
- Pereyra, C.M., L.R. Cavaglieri, S.M. Chiacchiera & A.M. Dalcerro. 2011. Mycobiota and mycotoxins contamination in raw materials and finished feed intended for fattening pigs production in eastern Argentina. *Vet. Res. Comm.*, 35: 367-379.
- Pereyra, C., G. Pena, L. Cavaglieri, C.D. Krüger, K.M. Keller, C.A.R. Rosa, S.M. Chiacchiera & A.M. Dalcerro. 2009. Influence of poultry feed processing on mycobiota and ochratoxin and citrinin co-occurrence. *Rev. Bras. Med. Vet.*, 31: 51-58.
- Pitt, J.I. 2004. Guia de laboratorio para la identificación de especies comunes de *Penicillium*. CSIRO Division of Food Processing, Australia, 199 pp.
- Samson, R.A., E.S. Hockstra, J.C. Frisvad & O. Filtenborg. 2000. Introduction to food and airborne fungi. Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures, Utrecht, 389 pp.
- Santos, F.C.F. Fungos em rações para camarões para camarões cultivados no Estado do Piauí. 2006. 53 pp. (Dissertação). Universidade Federal do Piauí. [[http://www.ufpi.br/subsiteFiles/ciencianimal/arquivos/files/DM\\_FCFS.pdf](http://www.ufpi.br/subsiteFiles/ciencianimal/arquivos/files/DM_FCFS.pdf)]. Reviewed: 10 March 2014.
- Sassahara, M., N.D. Pontes & E.K. Yanaka. 2005. Aflatoxin occurrence in foodstuff supplied to dairy cattle and aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> in raw milk in the North of Parana State. *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, 43: 981-984.
- Vieira, V., J. Neto, P.R.S. Lopes, R. Lazzari, M.B. Fonseca & C.C. Menezes. 2006. Alterações metabólicas e hematológicas em jundiás (*Rhamdia quelen*) alimentados com rações contendo aflatoxinas. *Ciênc. Anim. Bras. Goiânia*, 7: 49-55.
- Wing-Keong, N.G. 2003. The potential use of palm kernel meal in aquaculture feeds. *Aquacult. Asia*, 8(1): 38-39.
- Yiannikouris, A. & J.P. Jouany. 2002. Mycotoxins in feeds and their fate in animals: a review. *Anim. Res.*, 51: 81-99.

Received: 20 May 2014; Accepted: 26 February 2015